arXiv:1403.6650v1 [astro-ph.CO] 26 Mar 2014

Negative Running of the Spectral Index, Hemispherical Asymmetry and Consistency of Planck with BICEP2

John McDonald*
Dept. of Physics, University of Lancaster, Lancaster LA1 4YB, UK

Planck favours a negative running of the spectral index, with the likelihood being dominated by low multipoles $l \lesssim 50$ and no preference for running at higher l. A negative spectral index is also necessary for the 2-σ Planck upper bound on the tensor-to-scalar ratio r to be consistent with the value observed by BICEP2. Planck has also observed a hemispherical asymmetry of the CMB power spectrum, again mostly at low multipoles. Here we consider whether the physics responsible for the hemispherical asymmetry can also account for the negative running of the spectral index and the consistency of Planck with BICEP2. A negative running of the spectral index can be generated if the hemispherical asymmetry is due to a scale- and space-dependent modulation which suppresses the CMB power spectrum at low multipoles. We show that the observed hemispherical asymmetry at low l can be generated while satisfying constraints on the asymmetry at higher l and generating a negative spectral index of the right magnitude to allow the Planck and BICEP2 results for r to be consistent.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Planck has observed both a hemispherical asymmetry of the CMB power spectrum [1] and a preference for a negative running of the spectral index [2]. Both features are difficult to achieve in conventional inflation models, which typically predict statistical isotropy and a negligibly small value for the running of the spectral index.

The negative running of the spectral index observed by Planck[1] is given by $n_s' = dn_s/d\ln(k) = -0.013 \pm 0.009$ for the case without tensor modes and $n_s' = -0.021 \pm 0.011$ in the presence of tensor modes [2]. (We will quote Planck + WP values for reference; other values are similar.) The running of the spectral index obtained in [2] is based on a maximum likelihood fit which assumes a scale-independent value for the running. However, it was also found that the likelihood of the negative value of the running is dominated by low multipoles, $l \lesssim 50$, with no preference for a negative running at higher l [2]. This suggests that the negative running of the spectral index is scale-dependent and largest at low multipoles.

The hemispherical asymmetry [4–6] is also dominated by low multipoles. The asymmetry can be parameterized by a temperature dipole [7]

$$\frac{\delta T}{T}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)_o (\hat{\mathbf{n}})\,[1 + A\,\hat{\mathbf{n}}.\hat{\mathbf{p}}] \ , \qquad (1)$$

where $\left(\frac{\delta T}{T}\right)_o (\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ is a statistically isotropic temperature fluctuation, A is the magnitude of the asymmetry and $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ is its direction. The asymmetry is observed by Planck to be $A = 0.073 \pm 0.010$ for multipoles $l = 2 - 64$ [1], in agreement with earlier WMAP results [5]. At larger l there is no evidence of an asymmetry [8, 9], with $A < 0.0045$ (95 % c.l.) at $l = 601 - 2048$ [9].

The domination of both the hemispherical asymmetry and the negative running of the spectral index by low multipoles suggests that the same new physics could be responsible for both. This would also explain why a significant negative running of the spectral index is observed when most inflation models predict a negligible running.

Recently BICEP2 has observed gravity waves from inflation via CMB B-mode polarization at multipoles $l \lesssim 150$, with tensor-to-scalar ratio $r = 0.20^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$ [10, 11]. This value of r is in tension with the 95 % c.l. upper limit from Planck based on the CMB temperature spectrum, $r_{0.002} < 0.11$ [2]. However, this upper bound becomes weaker in the presence of a negative running of the spectral index, in which case $r_{0.002} < 0.26$ [2]. (The consistency of Planck and BICEP2 has also been recently addressed in [12–16].)

Here we wish to show that a modification of the CMB power spectrum which can account for the hemispherical asymmetry can also generate a negative running of the spectral index of the right magnitude to account for the Planck observations and to achieve consistency between the Planck upper bound on r and the BICEP2 value for r.

II. A MODULATION MODEL FOR THE HEMISPHERICAL ASYMMETRY AND NEGATIVE RUNNING OF THE SPECTRAL INDEX

In [17] we introduced a model for the hemispherical asymmetry based on the addition of a space- and scale-dependent component $\mathcal{P}_{asy}$ to the adiabatic power spectrum $\mathcal{P}_\zeta$,

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta = \mathcal{P}_{inf} + \mathcal{P}_{asy} \ , \qquad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{inf}$ is the conventional inflaton power spectrum and $\mathcal{P}_{asy}$ is responsible for the power asymmetry. $\mathcal{P}_{asy}$ is assumed to consist of a mean value and a spatially-varying dipole term. On the surface of last scattering $\mathcal{P}_{asy}$ is given by,

$$\mathcal{P}_{asy} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy} + \Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy}\,\hat{\mathbf{n}}.\hat{\mathbf{p}} \ , \qquad (3)$$

*Electronic address: j.mcdonald@lancaster.ac.uk

[1] WMAP 9-year observations also find a negative running of the spectral index [3].

where $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ is the direction of the dipole asymmetry and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ corresponds to a point on the last scattering surface. In [17] $\mathcal{P}_{asy}$ is assumed to be due to a new contribution to the adiabatic perturbation which is uncorrelated with the inflaton perturbation. In this case $\mathcal{P}_{asy}$ is strictly positive in sign. This leads to a positive running of the spectral index. To have a negative running, we need $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy}$ to be negative and so to partially cancel the power from the inflaton perturbations. This is possible if the inflaton power spectrum has a space- and scale-dependent modulation. We will consider a modulation on the surface of last scattering of the form

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta = \frac{\mathcal{P}_{inf}}{(1+f_{asy}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}))}\ , \tag{4}$$

where

$$f_{asy}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \hat{f}_{asy} + \Delta f_{asy}\,\hat{\mathbf{n}}.\hat{\mathbf{p}}\ . \tag{5}$$

For $f_{asy}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) \ll 1$,

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta \approx \mathcal{P}_{inf} - f_{asy}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})\mathcal{P}_{inf}\ . \tag{6}$$

In this case $\mathcal{P}_\zeta$ has the form of Eq. (2) with $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy} = -\hat{f}_{asy}\mathcal{P}_{inf}$ and $\Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy} = -\Delta f_{asy}\mathcal{P}_{inf}$.

A. The Hemispherical Asymmetry

The observed asymmetry on large angles, which we denote by A_{large}, comes from averaging over multipoles $l = 2$ to 64. We will assume that $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy}$ and $\Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy}$ have the same scale-dependence, corresponding to a power law dependence on k with spectral index n_σ,

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy\,0}\left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{n_\sigma-1}\ ;\ \Delta\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy} = \Delta\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy\,0}\left(\frac{k}{k_0}\right)^{n_\sigma-1}\ , \tag{7}$$

where $\xi = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy}/\mathcal{P}_{inf}$. k is approximately related to l by $k = l/x_{ls}$, where $x_{ls} = 14100$ Mpc is the comoving distance to the last-scattering surface. k_0 is the pivot scale, which we define to be $0.05\,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$. This corresponds to $l_0 \approx 700$. The asymmetry A from modes in the range l_{min} to l_{max} is given by [17]

$$A \approx \frac{\xi_0(\Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy}/\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy})_0}{2} \times \frac{\sum_{l=l_{min}}^{l_{max}} \frac{(2l+1)}{l(l+1)}\left(\frac{l}{l_0}\right)^{n_\sigma-1}}{\sum_{l=l_{min}}^{l_{max}} \frac{(2l+1)}{l(l+1)}}. \tag{8}$$

This assumes that $l(l+1)C_l$ for the inflaton perturbation C_l is approximately constant over the range of l [17]. The large-angle asymmetry, A_{large}, is then given by Eq. (8) with $l_{min} = 2$ and $l_{max} = 64$. On smaller angular scales, the asymmetry must be suppressed; for $l = 601 - 2048$ it must satisfy $A < 0.0045$ (95 % c.l.) [9]. For large l we can integrate the sums in Eq. (8) over l, therefore

$$A \approx \frac{\xi_0(\Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy}/\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy})_0}{2} \times \frac{\left(\left(\frac{l_{max}}{l_{min}}\right)^{n_\sigma-1} - 1\right)}{(n_\sigma-1)\ln\left(\frac{l_{max}}{l_{min}}\right)}\left(\frac{l_{min}}{l_0}\right)^{n_\sigma-1}\ , \tag{9}$$

The small-angle asymmetry, A_{small}, is then given by Eq. (9) with $l_{min} = 601$ and $l_{max} = 2048$.

B. Negative Running of the Spectral Index

The model predicts shifts of the spectral index and the running of the spectral index from their inflation model values, due to the scale-dependence of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy}$. The spectral index and its running are given by $n_s = n_{s\,inf} + \Delta n_s$ and $n_s' = n_{s\,inf}' + \Delta n_s'$, where, to leading order in ξ and neglecting the scale-dependence of $n_{s\,inf}$,

$$\Delta n_s \approx \xi(n_\sigma - 1) \tag{10}$$

and

$$\Delta n_s' \approx \xi(n_\sigma - 1)^2\ . \tag{11}$$

ξ is negative, therefore the shift of the running of the spectral index is negative while the shift of the spectral index is positive for $n_\sigma < 1$.

The model also predicts the existence of hemispherical asymmetries of n_s and n_s' [17]. The spectral index and its running from averaging the CMB over a hemisphere in the direction $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ are given by $n_{s\pm} = n_s \pm \delta n_s$ and $n_{s\pm}' = n_s' \pm \delta n_s'$, where $+$ $(-)$ denotes the hemisphere $\theta \in 0$ to $\pi/2$ ($\pi/2$ to π) with $\hat{\mathbf{n}}.\hat{\mathbf{p}} = \cos\theta$. δn_s and $\delta n_s'$ are given by [17]

$$\delta n_s \approx \frac{\xi(\Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy}/\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy})}{2}(n_\sigma - 1)\ . \tag{12}$$

and

$$\delta n_s' \approx \frac{\xi(\Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy}/\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy})}{2}(n_\sigma - 1)^2\ . \tag{13}$$

III. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The model has three input parameters: n_σ, ξ and $\Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy}/\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy}$. These determine 5 potentially observable quantities: A, Δn_s, $\Delta n_s'$, δn_s and $\delta n_s'$. Therefore it is always possible to predict two observables by using three as inputs. In the following all scale-dependent quantities are given by their values at $k = 0.002\ \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$ unless otherwise stated, corresponding to a low multipole $l \approx 28$.

It is generally possible to choose values for the model parameters that give rise to the observed hemispherical asymmetry, with the necessary suppression at large multipoles, together with a running of the spectral index of the right magnitude to allow the Planck upper bound on r to be consistent with the value from BICEP2.

n_σ	0.44	0.0	-0.5
A_{small}	0.0045	4.4×10^{-4}	2.7×10^{-5}
$\xi(\Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy}/\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy})$	-0.073	-0.033	-0.012

TABLE I: $\xi(\Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy}/\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy})$ and A_{small} as a function of n_σ when $A_{large}=0.073$.

n_σ	ξ	$\Delta n_s'$	Δn_s	$(\Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy}/\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy})$
0.44	-0.032	-0.010	0.018	2.28
0.44	-0.064	-0.020	0.036	1.14
0.44	-0.096	-0.030	0.054	0.76
0.0	-0.010	-0.010	0.010	3.30
0.0	-0.020	-0.020	0.020	1.65
0.0	-0.030	-0.030	0.030	1.10
-0.5	-0.0044	-0.010	0.0067	2.72
-0.5	-0.0088	-0.020	0.013	1.36
-0.5	-0.013	-0.030	0.020	0.92

TABLE II: Δn_s, $\Delta n_s'$ and $\Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy}/\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy}$ as a function of n_σ and ξ when $A_{large}=0.073$.

We set the value of the large angle asymmetry to be equal the observed value $A_{large}=0.073$ throughout. In Table 1 we give $\xi(\Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy}/\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy})$ and A_{small} as a function of n_σ when $A_{large}=0.073$. Suppression of the asymmetry at large l ($A_{small}<0.0045$) requires that $n_\sigma<0.44$. In Table 2 we give Δn_s, $\Delta n_s'$ and $\Delta\mathcal{P}_{asy}/\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{asy}$ as a function of n_σ and ξ when $A_{large}=0.073$. The shifts $\Delta n_s'$ in Table 2 are large enough ($\Delta n_s'\sim-0.020$) to bring the Planck upper bound on r into agreement with the BICEP2 value. In Table 3 we give the asymmetries δn_s and $\delta n_s'$ as a function of n_σ when $A_{large}=0.073$.

In order to predict the observed spectral index and its running, Δn_s and $\Delta n_s'$ must be added to values for $n_{s\,inf}$ and $n_{s\,inf}'$ from a specific inflation model. As an example we will consider ϕ^2 chaotic inflation. For this model, at a scale k, $n_{s\,inf}=1-2/N_*=0.964$, $n_{s\,inf}'=-2/N_*^2=-0.00066$ and $r=8/N_*=0.15$. Here N_* is the number of e-foldings at which the scale k exits the horizon; we will assume $N_*=55$. In Table 4 we give the total spectral index n_s for the ϕ^2 model as a function of n_σ when $n_s'=-0.020$ and $A_{large}=0.073$. We also give the shift of the spectral index and its running at the Planck pivot scale $k_0=0.05\,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$. Since this corresponds to a high multipole number, $l_0\approx700$, $\mathcal{P}_{asy}$ is strongly suppressed and so the shifts are small. Therefore the spectral index at the Planck pivot scale is essentially $n_{s\,inf}$. For the ϕ^2 model this is in good agreement with the 1-σ Planck observation $n_s=0.9607\pm0.007$. The value of n_s' at $k=0.002\,\mathrm{Mpc}$ is chosen to be of the order of magnitude required by Planck for consistency with BICEP2. In principle, n_σ can be fixed by observing the hemispherical asymmetry of the spectral index δn_s. (Three observational inputs are required to make a prediction; in this example A_{large}, n_s' and δn_s.) The model can then be tested by comparing the value of n_s at $k=0.002\,\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$ with the observed value. Alternatively, one could observe δn_s and $\delta n_s'$ and use these, together with A_{large}, to predict both n_s and n_s'.

Our results present theoretical values for the spectral index, the running of the spectral index, hemispherical asymmetries in these quantities, and the hemispherical asymmetry of the CMB power spectrum, all at low multipoles $l<100$. These can be determined to arbitrary precision in an ensemble of universes, but it remains to be seen if they can be determined to sufficient precision from CMB data in the observed Universe. In addition, the Planck values for n_s and n_s' are based on a maximum likelihood fit to a model with a constant running of the spectral index. Therefore the Planck results for n_s and n_s' cannot be directly compared with a model which has a scale-dependent running of the spectral index. A new analysis with scale-dependent running will be necessary to determine n_s and n_s' for this class of model.

In conclusion, a modulation of the adiabatic power spectrum from inflation which reduces the power at low multipoles can account both for the hemispherical asymmetry of the CMB power spectrum and for the negative running of the spectral index observed by Planck. The latter can allow the Planck upper bound on r to be consistent with the BICEP-2 observation. The model is predictive and in principle testable. Our analysis is based on a modulated power spectrum for the adiabatic perturbations. The next step will be to find a realization of such a power spectrum in an explicit model.

Acknowledgements

The work of JM is partially supported by STFC grant ST/J000418/1.

n_σ	δn_s	$\delta n_s'$
0.44	0.020	-0.011
0.0	0.016	-0.016
-0.5	0.009	-0.014

TABLE III: The hemispherical asymmetry of the spectral index, δn_s, and of the running of the spectral index, $\delta n_s'$, at $k = 0.002$ Mpc^{-1} when $A_{large} = 0.073$.

n_σ	$\Delta n_s(0.05\ \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1})$	$\Delta n_s'(0.05\ \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1})$	n_s
0.44	5.9×10^{-3}	-3.3×10^{-3}	1.000
0.0	8.0×10^{-4}	-8.0×10^{-4}	0.984
-0.5	1.0×10^{-4}	-1.6×10^{-4}	0.977

TABLE IV: Predictions for the spectral index n_s at $k = 0.002$ Mpc^{-1} as a function of n_σ when $n_s' = -0.020$ for the ϕ^2 chaotic inflation model. The shifts of the spectral index and its running at $k = 0.05$ Mpc^{-1} are also shown.

[1] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5083 [astro-ph.CO].

[2] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO].

[3] G. Hinshaw *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **208**, 19 (2013) [arXiv:1212.5226 [astro-ph.CO]].

[4] H. K. Eriksen, F. K. Hansen, A. J. Banday, K. M. Gorski and P. B. Lilje, Astrophys. J. **605**, 14 (2004) [Erratum-ibid. **609**, 1198 (2004)] [astro-ph/0307507]; F. K. Hansen, A. J. Banday and K. M. Gorski, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **354**, 641 (2004) [astro-ph/0404206]. H. K. Eriksen, A. J. Banday, K. M. Gorski, F. K. Hansen and P. B. Lilje, Astrophys. J. **660**, L81 (2007) [astro-ph/0701089]; F. K. Hansen, A. J. Banday, K. M. Gorski, H. K. Eriksen and P. B. Lilje, Astrophys. J. **704** (2009) 1448 [arXiv:0812.3795 [astro-ph]];

[5] J. Hoftuft, H. K. Eriksen, A. J. Banday, K. M. Gorski, F. K. Hansen and P. B. Lilje, Astrophys. J. **699**, 985 (2009) [arXiv:0903.1229 [astro-ph.CO]].

[6] Y. Akrami, Y. Fantaye, A. Shafieloo, H. K. Eriksen, F. K. Hansen, A. J. Banday and K. M. Górski, arXiv:1402.0870 [astro-ph.CO].

[7] C. Gordon, W. Hu, D. Huterer and T. M. Crawford, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 103002 [astro-ph/0509301].

[8] C. M. Hirata, JCAP **0909**, 011 (2009) [arXiv:0907.0703 [astro-ph.CO]].

[9] S. Flender and S. Hotchkiss, JCAP **1309** (2013) 033 [arXiv:1307.6069 [astro-ph.CO]].

[10] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [BICEP2 Collaboration], arXiv:1403.3985 [astro-ph.CO].

[11] P. A. RAde *et al.* [BICEP2 Collaboration], arXiv:1403.4302 [astro-ph.CO].

[12] C. R. Contaldi, M. Peloso and L. Sorbo, arXiv:1403.4596 [astro-ph.CO].

[13] V. íc. Miranda, W. Hu and P. Adshead, arXiv:1403.5231 [astro-ph.CO].

[14] M. Kawasaki and S. Yokoyama, arXiv:1403.5823 [astro-ph.CO].

[15] G. Aslanyan, L. C. Price, K. N. Abazajian and R. Easther, arXiv:1403.5849 [astro-ph.CO];K. N. Abazajian, G. Aslanyan, R. Easther and L. C. Price, arXiv:1403.5922 [astro-ph.CO].

[16] A. Ashoorioon, K. Dimopoulos, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari and G. Shiu, arXiv:1403.6099 [hep-th].

[17] J. McDonald, arXiv:1403.2076 [astro-ph.CO].